Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) VESTWEBER ET AL. | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 10/540,461 | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| MICHAEL E. NELSON | 1794 | |

| | MICHAEL E. NELSON | 1794 | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | on. | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension set have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions the under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | |
| | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two months | e of the date of | | |
| 2. If The Notice of Appeal was filed on, A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(b)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues f appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | |
| | 21 See attached Notice of Non Co | mpliant Amandment / | DTOL 224) | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | |
| 7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prorectly the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1.5-8.11-16 and 20. | | I be entered and an e | xplanation of | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| /Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 112 Rej. of claims 17-19, 21-24, 35 USC 103 rej. based on Lupo et al. (5.840,217), and Double Patenting.